

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	]	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/844,532		04/30/2001	Warren M. Farnworth	97-1433.1	97-1433.1 3740	
22823	7590	06/08/2005		EXAMINER		
STEPHEN			CHANG, RICK KILTAE			
2764 SOUT		OF STEVE GRATTO N WAY	)N	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3729		
LAKEWO	OD, CO	80228				
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			58°			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/844,532	FARNWORTH E1	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rick K. Chang	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, ply within the statutory minimur d will apply and will expire SIX ( te, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 i	<u>May 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for forma	I matters, prosecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	are withdrawn from co					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objector e drawing(s) be held in a ction is required if the dr	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received nts have been received ority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Inter	rview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date of record	· —	ce of Informal Patent Application (PTC er:	J-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,532

Art Unit: 3729

3. Claims 34-35, 39-41, 43, 49 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fjelstad et al (US 5,615,824) in view of Maruyama et al (US 6,661,247).

Fjelstad discloses in Figs. 3-4 leads 28 having asperities are shaped by a pressing tool located above and in contact with 75 and the leads are heated (col. 7, line 57). Further, Fjelstad discloses providing a substrate (Fig. 4) having a surface (top of 100); forming a plurality of leads (22); forming outer layers (34); a blade (30 digs into the surface of ball 72 and col. 7, lines 27-31 as well as oxide layer); a conductive via (plated 46); a contact or pads (bottom 58s).

Fjelstad fails to disclose shaping the terminal portions with a curvature approx. equal to the radius of the bumped contact.

Maruyama discloses that various sizes of the bumps are used to shape the leads (col. 7, lines 18-19).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Fjelstad by providing bumps that are equal to the radius of the bumped contact to shape the terminal portions with a curvature approx. equal to the radius of the bumped contact, as taught by Maruyama, for the purpose of positively connecting the bumps to the terminal portions to improve reliability of the test.

4. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fjelstad et al (US 5,632,631)/Maruyama et al (US 6,661,247) in view of Sugiyama et al (US 4,766,666).

Fjelstad/Maruyama disclose etching a recess (Fig. 7 shows forming 46 by etching), but fails to disclose providing a semiconductor material.

Sugiyama discloses providing a semiconductor material (30).

Application/Control Number: 09/844,532 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fjelstad/Maruyama by providing a semiconductor material, as taught by Sugiyama, for the purpose of electrically communicating between two surfaces using the semiconductive material instead of metal.

5. Claims 40-41, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fjelstad et al (US 5,632,631)/Maruyama et al (US 6,661,247) in view of Kazle (US 5,936,847).

Fjelstad/Maruyama fail to disclose providing a conductive polymer and a carbon film.

Kazle discloses providing a conductive polymer (140a).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fjelstad/Maruyama by providing a conductive polymer, as taught by Kazle, for the purpose of forming electrical communication between two electronic components without need for solder or gold.

Further, Kazle discloses providing a conductive polymer which includes a carbon film. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a carbon film, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended us as a matter of obvious design choice because applicants have not disclosed that a carbon film provides an advantage or solves a stated problem. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 5/2/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,532

Art Unit: 3729

09/009261 discloses in the specification page 12, lines 3-7 the same limitation as US Patent No. 6,661,247 in col. 7, lines 18-19. US Patent No. 6,661,247 receives the priority date to January 20, 1998.

24N in Fig. 26 shows shaping the terminal portions with a curvature matching the shape of the bumped contact and this is also shown in 09/009261.

34 is a non-bonding surface in US 5,632,631 since it digs into 72.

US Patent No. 5,936,847 has the same material as the claimed invention; therefore, it must be a non-bonding surface.

# Interviews After Final

7. Applicant note that an interview after a final rejection must be submitted briefly in writing the intended purpose and content of the interview (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Upon review of the agenda, the Examiner may grant the interview if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

#### Conclusion

8. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the

Application/Control Number: 09/844,532

Art Unit: 3729

application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any

amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP

2163.06.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The

examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final

communications.

RICHARD CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6

RC

May 16, 2005